1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR, Attorney General of the State of California		
2	THOMAS S. LAZAR Supervising Deputy Attorney General MATTHEW M. DAVIS, State Bar No. 202766 Deputy Attorney General		
3			
4	California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101		
6 7	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2075 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to	Case Nos. R-2053 and D1-2006-245	
13	Revoke Probation Against:	OAH No.	
14	MARGARET E. JONES, R.C.P. P.O. Box 6074	ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO	
15	Crestline, CA 92325	REVOKE PROBATION	
16	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 2386,		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	<u>PARTIES</u>		
21	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation and Petition to		
22	Revoke Probation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care		
23	Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
24	2. On or about May 17, 1985, the Board issued Respiratory Care Practitioner		
25	License Number 2386 to MARGARET ELIZABETH JONES (Respondent). The Respiratory		
26	Care Practitioner License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought		
27	herein and will expire on June 30, 2009, unless renewed.		
28	///		
	1		

1	
2	Agaiı
3	effect
4	Num
5	place
6	of tha
7	herei
8	
9	
10	autho
11	Accu
12	the B
13	
14	Care
15	chapt
16	
17	and r
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

27

28

3. In a previous disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against MARGARET E. JONES," Case No. R-2053, the Board issued a decision and order, effective October 12, 2007, in which Respondent's Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number 2386 was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's license was placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with certain terms and conditions. A true copy of that decision is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation is brought under the authority of the following laws and under the disciplinary order issued "In the Matter of the Accusation Against MARGARET E. JONES," Case No. R-2053. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 3710 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 6. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."

COST RECOVERY

7. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

8. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	R-20
10	
11	parti
12	blood
13	Boar
14	proba
15	
16	repre
17	and s
18	contr
19	
20	from
21	may

23

24

25

26

27

28

9. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:

"(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation.

"…"

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Comply with Biological Fluid Testing Requirements)

- 10. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation in Case No. R-2053, Condition 3 stated:
- "3. BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING Respondent, at her expense, shall participate in random testing, including, but not limited to, biological fluid testing (i.e. urine, blood, saliva), breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or a drug screening program approved by the Board. Test costs range from \$21.00 to \$200.00 each. The length of time shall be for the entire probation period. The frequency and location of testing will be determined by the Board.

"At all times, Respondent shall fully cooperate with the Board or any of its representatives, and shall, when directed, appear for testing as requested, and submit to such tests and samples for the detection of alcohol, narcotics, hypnotics, dangerous drugs or other controlled substances.

"If Respondent is unable to provide a specimen in a reasonable amount of time from the request, while at the work site, Respondent understands that any Board representative may request from the supervisor, manager or director on duty to observe Respondent in a manner that does not interrupt or jeopardize patient care in any manner, until such time Respondent provides a specimen acceptable to the Board.

"Failure to submit to testing or appear as requested by any Board representative for testing, as directed, shall constitute a violation of probation, and shall result in the filing of an accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation against Respondent's respiratory care practitioner license."

11. Respondent's probation in Case No. R-2053 is subject to revocation

1	because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 3, referenced above, in that she failed to	
2	comply with biological fluid testing requirements. The circumstances are set forth below:	
3	a. On or about October 6, 2008, Respondent failed to provide, as	
4	directed, a biological fluid specimen, pursuant to Condition 3 of her probation.	
5	b. On or about October 21, 2008, Respondent failed to provide, as	
6	directed, a biological fluid specimen, pursuant to Condition 3 of her probation.	
7	c. On or about November 3, 2008, Respondent failed to provide, as	
8	directed, a biological fluid specimen, pursuant to Condition 3 of her probation.	
9	d. On or about November 12, 2008, Respondent failed to provide, as	
10	directed, a biological fluid specimen, pursuant to Condition 3 of her probation.	
11	e. On or about January 6, 2009, Respondent failed to provide, as	
12	directed, a biological fluid specimen, pursuant to Condition 3 of her probation.	
13	f. On or about October 7, 2008, through October 13, 2008,	
14	Respondent failed to call the Board's drug and alcohol testing provider, pursuant to Condition 3	
15	of her probation.	
16	g. On or about October 22, 2008, through January 22, 2009,	
17	Respondent failed to call the Board's drug and alcohol testing provider, pursuant to Condition 3	
18	of her probation.	
19	SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION	
20	(Failure to File Quarterly Reports)	
21	12. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation in Case No.	
22	R-2053, Condition 7 stated:	
23	"7. QUARTERLY REPORTS Respondent shall file quarterly reports of	
24	compliance under penalty of perjury, on forms to be provided to the probation monitor assigned	
25	by the Board. Omission or falsification in any manner of any information on these reports shall	
26	constitute a violation of probation, and shall result in the filing of an accusation and/or a petition	
27	to revoke probation against Respondent's respiratory care practitioner license.	
28	"Quarterly report forms will be provided by the Board. Respondent is responsible	

27

28

R-2053, Condition 13 stated:

"13.

COST RECOVERY Respondent shall pay to the Board a sum not to

"16. VIOLATION OF PROBATION If Respondent violates any term of the

27

28

R-2053, Condition 16 stated:

19. Respondent's probation in Case No. R-2053 is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 16, referenced above, in that she violated the terms of probation as more particularly described in paragraphs 11, 13, 15, and 17 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

20. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about October 12, 2007, in a prior disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against MARGARET E. JONES," Case No. R-2053, Respondent's Respiratory Care Practitioner License was revoked, with the revocation stayed, and she was placed on three years probation on various terms and conditions. A true and correct copy of that decision, which is now final, is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- Revoking probation and carrying out the discipline that was stayed in Case
 No. R-2053 revoking Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number 2386 issued to Margaret E.
 Jones;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number 2386 issued to Margaret E. Jones;
- 3. Directing Margaret E. Jones to pay the Respiratory Care Board of California the costs of the investigation and enforcement of cases R-2053 and D1-2006-245, and

1	if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and
2	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
3	
4	DATED: <u>January 22, 2009</u>
5	
6	Original signed by: STEPHANIE NUNEZ
7 8	Executive Officer Respiratory Care Board of California State of California
9	Complainant
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	